

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/939,235	ARMSTRONG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Doug Scholer.

**Status of Application:** Amendment after Non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 January 2007

**Time:** 5pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NA

**Claims discussed:**

1, 13, 16, 31, and 33

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the claims as such in the Examiner's Amendment to incorporate allowable subject matter.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MENG-AL T. AN  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 INNOVATION CENTER 2100

Kenneth Tang  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)